

**Department of the Interior**  
**Security Control Standard**  
**Risk Assessment**

**January 2012**

Version: 1.2



## Signature Approval Page

Designated Official	
Bernard J. Mazer, Department of the Interior, Chief Information Officer	
<b>Signature:</b>	<b>Date:</b>

## REVISION HISTORY

Author	Version	Revision Date	Revision Summary
Chris Peterson	0.1	January 25, 2011	Initial draft
Timothy Brown	0.2	January 28, 2011	Incorporated comments into body text
Timothy Brown	1.0	February 17, 2011	Final review and version change to 1.0
Lawrence K. Ruffin	1.1	April 29, 2011	Final revisions and version change to 1.1
Lawrence K. Ruffin	1.2	January 18, 2012	Revisions for closer alignment to FedRAMP Baseline Security Controls.v1.0 dated 1/6/2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REVISION HISTORY .....3

TABLE OF CONTENTS .....4

SECURITY CONTROL STANDARD: RISK ASSESSMENT .....5

    RA-1 RISK ASSESSMENT POLICY AND PROCEDURES .....5

    RA-2 SECURITY CATEGORIZATION.....6

    RA-3 RISK ASSESSMENT .....6

    RA-5 VULNERABILITY SCANNING .....7

## SECURITY CONTROL STANDARD: RISK ASSESSMENT

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Special Publication (SP) 800-53 describes the required process for selecting and specifying security controls for an information system based on its security categorizing, including tailoring the initial set of baseline security controls and supplementing the tailored baseline as necessary based on an organizational assessment of risk.

This standard specifies organization-defined parameters that are deemed necessary or appropriate to achieve a consistent security posture across the Department of the Interior. In addition to the NIST SP 800-53 Risk Assessment (RA) control family standard, supplemental information is included that establishes an enterprise-wide standard for specific controls within the control family. In some cases additional agency-specific or Office of Management and Budget (OMB) requirements have been incorporated into relevant controls. Where the NIST SP 800-53 indicates the need for organization-defined parameters or selection of operations that are not specified in this supplemental standard, the System Owner shall appropriately define and document the parameters based on the individual requirements, purpose, and function of the information system. The supplemental information provided in this standard is required to be applied when the Authorizing Official (AO) has selected the control, or control enhancement, in a manner that is consistent with the Department's IT security policy and associated information security Risk Management Framework (RMF) strategy.

Additionally, information systems implemented within cloud computing environments shall select, implement, and comply with any additional and/or more stringent security control requirements as specified and approved by the Federal Risk and Authorization Management Program (FedRAMP) unless otherwise approved for risk acceptance by the AO. The additional controls required for implementation within cloud computing environments are readily identified within the Priority and Baseline Allocation table following each control and distinguished by the control or control enhancement represented in **bold red text**.

### ***RA-1 RISK ASSESSMENT POLICY AND PROCEDURES***

Applicability: Bureaus and Offices

Control: The organization develops, disseminates, and reviews/updates at least annually.

- a. A formal, documented risk assessment policy that addresses purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, management commitment, coordination among organizational entities, and compliance; and
- b. Formal, documented procedures to facilitate the implementation of the risk assessment policy and associated risk assessment controls.

Supplemental Guidance: This control is intended to produce the policy and procedures that are required for the effective implementation of selected security controls and control enhancements in the risk assessment family. The policy and procedures are consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance. Existing organizational policies and procedures may make the need for additional specific policies and procedures unnecessary. The risk assessment policy can be included as part of the general information security policy for the organization. Risk assessment procedures can be developed for the security program in general and for a particular information system, when required. The organizational risk management strategy is a key factor in the development of the risk assessment policy. Related control: PM-9.

Control Enhancements: None.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-12, 800-30, 800-100.

Priority and Baseline Allocation:

<b>P1</b>	<b>LOW RA-1</b>	<b>MOD RA-1</b>	<b>HIGH RA-1</b>
-----------	-----------------	-----------------	------------------

## ***RA-2 SECURITY CATEGORIZATION***

Applicability: All Information Systems

Control: The organization:

- Categorizes information and the information system in accordance with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance;
- Documents the security categorization results (including supporting rationale) in the security plan for the information system; and
- Ensures the security categorization decision is reviewed and approved by the authorizing official or authorizing official designated representative.

Supplemental Guidance: A clearly defined authorization boundary is a prerequisite for an effective security categorization. Security categorization describes the potential adverse impacts to organizational operations, organizational assets, and individuals should the information and information system be comprised through a loss of confidentiality, integrity, or availability. The organization conducts the security categorization process as an organization-wide activity with the involvement of the chief information officer, senior information security officer, information system owner, mission owners, and information owners/stewards. The organization also considers potential adverse impacts to other organizations and, in accordance with the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001 and Homeland Security Presidential Directives, potential national-level adverse impacts in categorizing the information system. The security categorization process facilitates the creation of an *inventory* of information assets, and in conjunction with CM-8, a mapping to the information system components where the information is processed, stored, and transmitted. Related controls: CM-8, MP-4, SC-7.

Control Enhancements: None.

References: FIPS Publication 199; NIST Special Publications 800-30, 800-39, 800-60.

Priority and Baseline Allocation:

<b>P1</b>	<b>LOW RA-2</b>	<b>MOD RA-2</b>	<b>HIGH RA-2</b>
-----------	-----------------	-----------------	------------------

## ***RA-3 RISK ASSESSMENT***

Applicability: All Information Systems

Control: The organization:

- a. Conducts an assessment of risk, including the likelihood and magnitude of harm, from the unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification, or destruction of the information system and the information it processes, stores, or transmits;
- b. Documents risk assessment results in a security assessment report;
- c. Reviews risk assessment results at least every three years or when a significant change occurs; and
- d. Updates the risk assessment at least every three years or whenever there are significant changes to the information system or environment of operation (including the identification of new threats and vulnerabilities), or other conditions that may impact the security state of the system.

Supplemental Guidance: A clearly defined authorization boundary is a prerequisite for an effective risk assessment. Risk assessments take into account vulnerabilities, threat sources, and security controls planned or in place to determine the level of residual risk posed to organizational operations and assets, individuals, other organizations, and the Nation based on the operation of the information system. Risk assessments also take into account risk posed to organizational operations, organizational assets, or individuals from external parties (e.g., service providers, contractors operating information systems on behalf of the organization, individuals accessing organizational information systems, outsourcing entities). In accordance with OMB policy and related E-authentication initiatives, authentication of public users accessing federal information systems may also be required to protect nonpublic or privacy-related information. As such, organizational assessments of risk also address public access to federal information systems. The General Services Administration provides tools supporting that portion of the risk assessment dealing with public access to federal information systems.

Risk assessments (either formal or informal) can be conducted by organizations at various steps in the Risk Management Framework including: information system categorization; security control selection; security control implementation; security control assessment; information system authorization; and security control monitoring. RA-3 is a noteworthy security control in that the control must be partially *implemented* prior to the implementation of other controls in order to complete the first two steps in the Risk Management Framework. Risk assessments can play an important role in the security control selection process during the application of tailoring guidance for security control baselines and when considering supplementing the tailored baselines with additional security controls or control enhancements.

Control Enhancements: None.

References: NIST Special Publication 800-30. NIST Special Publication 800-37, Revision 1, Appendix F

Priority and Baseline Allocation:

<b>P1</b>	<b>LOW RA-3</b>	<b>MOD RA-3</b>	<b>HIGH RA-3</b>
-----------	-----------------	-----------------	------------------

## ***RA-5 VULNERABILITY SCANNING***

Applicability: All Information Systems

Control: The organization:

- a. Scans for vulnerabilities in the information system and hosted applications quarterly for operating system(s), web application(s), and database(s) (as applicable) and when new vulnerabilities potentially affecting the system/applications are identified and reported;
- b. Employs vulnerability scanning tools and techniques that promote interoperability among tools and automate parts of the vulnerability management process by using standards for:
  - Enumerating platforms, software flaws, and improper configurations;
  - Formatting and making transparent, checklists and test procedures; and
  - Measuring vulnerability impact;
- c. Analyzes vulnerability scan reports and results from security control assessments;
- d. Remediates legitimate vulnerabilities within thirty days for high-risk vulnerabilities ; within ninety days for moderate risk vulnerabilities in accordance with an organizational assessment of risk; and
- e. Shares information obtained from the vulnerability scanning process and security control assessments with designated personnel throughout the organization to help eliminate similar vulnerabilities in other information systems (i.e., systemic weaknesses or deficiencies).

**Supplemental Guidance:** The security categorization of the information system guides the frequency and comprehensiveness of the vulnerability scans. Vulnerability analysis for custom software and applications may require additional, more specialized techniques and approaches (e.g., web-based application scanners, source code reviews, source code analyzers). Vulnerability scanning includes scanning for specific functions, ports, protocols, and services that should not be accessible to users or devices and for improperly configured or incorrectly operating information flow mechanisms. The organization considers using tools that express vulnerabilities in the Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE) naming convention and that use the Open Vulnerability Assessment Language (OVAL) to test for the presence of vulnerabilities. The Common Weakness Enumeration (CWE) and the National Vulnerability Database (NVD) are also excellent sources for vulnerability information. In addition, security control assessments such as red team exercises are another source of potential vulnerabilities for which to scan. Related controls: CA-2, CM-6, RA-3, SI-2.

**Control Enhancements:**

1. The organization employs vulnerability scanning tools that include the capability to readily update the list of information system vulnerabilities scanned.
2. The organization updates the list of information system vulnerabilities scanned monthly or when new vulnerabilities are identified and reported.
3. The organization employs vulnerability scanning procedures that can demonstrate the breadth and depth of coverage (i.e., information system components scanned and vulnerabilities checked).
4. The organization attempts to discern what information about the information system is discoverable by adversaries.
5. The organization includes privileged access authorization to [Assignment: organization-identified information system components] for selected vulnerability scanning activities to facilitate more thorough scanning.
6. The organization employs automated mechanisms to compare the results of vulnerability scans over time to determine trends in information system vulnerabilities.
7. The organization employs automated mechanisms [Assignment: organization-defined frequency] to detect the presence of unauthorized software on organizational information systems and notify designated organizational officials.
9. The organization employs an independent penetration agent or penetration team to:
  - a. Conduct a vulnerability analysis on the information system; and
  - b. Perform penetration testing on the information system based on the vulnerability analysis to determine the exploitability of identified vulnerabilities.



Enhancement Supplemental Guidance: A standard method for penetration testing includes: (i) pre-test analysis based on full knowledge of the target information system; (ii) pre-test identification of potential vulnerabilities based on pre-test analysis; and (iii) testing designed to determine exploitability of identified vulnerabilities. Detailed rules of engagement are agreed upon by all parties before the commencement of any penetration testing scenario.

References: NIST Special Publications 800-40, 800-70, 800-115; Web: CWE.MITRE.ORG; NVD.NIST.GOV.

Priority and Baseline Allocation:

<b>P1</b>	<b>LOW</b> RA-5	<b>MOD</b> RA-5 (1) (2) (3) (6) (9)	<b>HIGH</b> RA-5 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (9)
-----------	-----------------	-------------------------------------	--